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Introduction

Electron and ion beam systems can be applied for the local deposition of material. This is realized by the local decomposition of organo-metallic precursor gas, released close to the surface of interest. The excellent control of the beam position and dwell time, allow the creation of well-defined structures at the micro and nano scale. In application of these depositions for semiconductor and nano technology it is important to understand the electrical, optical, mechanical, magnetic or chemical properties of such a deposition. Especially metallic depositions are often used for the direct creation of a very local conductivity path and hence the local resistance of a structure is an important parameter. For this reason the electrical characterization of metallic deposits of thin metal lines (Ω·m) or of thin films (Ω/sq) is necessary.

The standard characterization method

For the determination of the specific resistivity of a metal line, use is made of the four-point probe method. In this method a wire or a small structure is contacted at four locations (pin 1, 2, 3, 4).

\[ R = \rho \cdot \frac{L}{A} \]

with
- \( L \) = the length of the structure (m);
- \( A \) = the area (width x thickness) of the cross section (m\(^2\))
- \( \rho \) = the specific resistivity (Ω·m or the practical unit μΩ·cm)
As the structures created by the ion beam or by the electron beam are very small, the way of contacting them is not straightforward. One of the ways to do the measurements is to create a deposition of a metal strip over the area of a pre-patterned wafer, where the strip connects to all four, large probe pads of the pre-defined pattern. In this way large pads (up to mm) become available for the probing. An example of such a dedicated structure is shown in figure 1 (overview) and the details of a deposited Au structure are shown in figure 2 (tilted image). In the description above and referring to figure 1, pin 1 and 4 are the pads in the upper left and right corner, whereas pin 2 and 3 are the pads on the lower left and lower right corner.

Figure 1 (left) shows an example of a structure suited for the measurement. Figure 2 (right) shows the details of a single strip (Au deposition, tilted image) that connects all 4 probe pads.

By applying the probe measurements to the four pads the resistance between connection 2 and 3 is known and measuring the distance between the probe pads 2 and 3 (L) as well as the cross sectional area of the deposit (width and thickness), the specific resistivity can be determined.

Although the method gives good results, it requires the use a special test wafer structure and the measurement cannot be done on an arbitrary substrate of interest, such as a polymer, a glass base, an optical structure or any bioactive material. In addition, as can be seen from the pictures above, the pre-patterned wafer is not quite perfect and the deposit includes a four-fold step coverage. Also the width and thickness of the metal deposition have to be determined from the tilted image or from a FIB created cross-section. Depending on the gas deposition conditions, the step coverage's left and right may be different. Finally, it is necessary to prepare the pre-patterned wafer so that Ohmic contact can be made between the pad and the deposit. So any oxide barrier must be removed completely before depositing.

A new approach

A more direct way to measure the specific resistivity is to apply in-situ probing on a metal deposition strip, which is isolated on a flat structure. In that case there is no step coverage and the strip usually is better defined (area of the cross-section). As the only requirement is the use of a non-conducting flat background, the strip can be deposited on any substrate of interest, such as a polymer thin film. In a practical approach this method has been tested using an FEI Quanta3D and an in-situ Capres four-point probe unit mounted on a Kleindiek MM3A micromanipulator. The Capres system includes a more sophisticated electronic measurement system based on a low frequency AC current source and a resulting voltage that is measured using a lock-in amplifier and suitable output filtering. In this way it is possible to get good readings in the very low voltage range (low current, low resistance) with good noise suppression and elimination of DC artifacts. The current frequency range is up to 650 Hz, but the resistance measurement result is, of course if ohmic, independent of the applied frequency. The choice of frequency is optimized for the best
accuracy of the measurement. The experimental set-up is shown in figure 3 and the probe unit detail in figure 4.

The four-point probe unit has a 5 µm pitch. The cantilever is 25 µm long and has a thickness of 3 µm and a width of 5 µm. The spring constant is 5 N/m. The unit is connected to the electronic circuitry by suitable feed-through. As the touch down needs to be arranged along the deposition line, the sample is mounted horizontally so that images of the SEM column can be used.

Touch down is realized by slowly moving downward with the MM3A while monitoring a DC voltage offset, which drops upon touch down. An example of this procedure is shown in figure 5, using an ion beam induced Pt deposition of 25 x 1 x 1 µm. Given the spring constant and the overdrive of 1 µm, the actual contact force was around 5 µN.
Figure 7 (left): Image during the measurement. The probe unit now is in touch down position and the determined resistance is 50.8 Ω. Figure 8 (right): Probe removed from the strip, after the measurement. Pins are grounded. The strip shows very small indents due to the touch down.

Result

The measured value of 50.8 Ω allows the calculation of $\rho$, using and area of $10^{12}$ μm$^2$ and a length of $5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ μm: $\rho = 1 \cdot 10^5$ Ω·m or 10 μΩ·m. This compares favorably with values reported in literature of between 10 – 20 μΩ·m. The actual measurement is done in typically one second per point on the $i/V$ curve. In a full curve the linear behavior of the resistor can be checked as well, and any Schottky behavior would be visible form the curvature in the graph. In the case mentioned here, the contact is Ohmic.

Conclusion

The in-situ measurement method using the four-point probe unit provides direct measurement of the specific resistivity of the deposition, created by the electron beam or by the ion beam. It can be applied to "stand-alone" structures on any isolating substrate. The in-situ measurement can be used to study the deposition process parameters (chemical environment, ion / electron beam settings, substrate type, temperature, patterning parameters etc) without the requirement for dedicated pre-patterned wafers.

Discussion

The control of any residual damage is strongly related to the force of the contact and in practice to the speed of approaching and hence the time spent on contacting. The applied speed in the example above is an average one and in case more time is spent, the resulting indents will even be less. In the example here the indents are visible, but the damage does not change the structure notably and has no influence on the measurement result. The measurement has also been repeated at different positions on the strip: they all had the same value of 50.8 Ω, indicating that the result is representative for the material of the strip.